

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/045,940	10/19/200	Konrad Schafroth	33952	2533	
116	7590 08/	003	•		
PEARNE & GORDON LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUITE 1200			MISKA	MISKA, VIT W	
CLEVELAN	ND, OH 44114-14		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2841		
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/200	DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	•	/
*	Application No.	Applicant(s)	11
	10/045,940	SCHAFROTH ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vit W. Miska	2841	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commur (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	April 2003 .		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowations closed in accordance with the practice under	nce except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the me	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30 and 31</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.		
The specification is objected to by the Examine	-		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept		minor	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	·	, ,	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		Trouby the Examinor.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, , , , ,	1
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	J	e
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		lication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	eived.	
Attachment(s)	1 2007 200 20 2000 33 120	w. tmil	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152	
Doba de la Companya d			

Application/Control Number: 10/045,940 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art described at page 1, lines 8-17 in view of the Patent to Godat. The prior art description includes a watch movement with a generator the rotor of which is driven by a spring over a gear train, and an electronic regulating circuit. The Godat reference teaches lubrication with oil of the bearings of the gears of a watch movement (col. 2, lines 28ff). Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art having both references would have a suggestion of providing oiled bearings in the prior art watch/generator described in applicant's specification as a conventional means for lubricating the watch movement gear train.
- 2. The specific type of oil used as lubricant claimed by applicant, being an ozoneresistant type oil would be an obvious choice for one skilled in the art in view of the use
 of such oils for lubrication. The example given in applicant's specification of Fomblin is a
 well known lubricant and one skilled in the art would be familiar with uses thereof for

Application/Control Number: 10/045,940

Page 3

Art Unit: 2841

lubricating gear bearings. The prior art problems of spark discharge/ozone depletion in a timepiece generator geartrain described at page 3 of applicant's specification would lead one skilled in the art to provide a lubricant which would be most effective in such

an environment. Applicant's arguments, therefore, are not convincing.

3. With respect to claim 31, a non magnetizable material for the gears and non

epilamized surfaces thereof would be obvious in view of the suggestion of non-

magnetizable materials for the geartrain components at page 3 of the specification and

the need for conductive surfaces for proper grounding also noted in the description of

the prior art.

4. The Frei & Borel reference cited discloses a "Fomblin Grease" for timepieces.

5. Claims 1-29 are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/045,940

Art Unit: 2841

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Vit W. Miska whose telephone number is 703-308-3096.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 703-308-3121. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for

regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4900

VM

July 24, 2003

Primary Examiner

Page 4